



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,812	10/19/2004	Gheorge S Stan	NL 020359	6549
24737 75	590 09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PHAM, VAN T	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2627	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,812	STAN, GHEORGE S			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	VAN T. PHAM	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 20-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/15/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashiro (Us 2002/0003760) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,625,616).

Regarding claim 1, Nakashiro Yukihisa discloses a device for recording data on a recording medium which can be written by a recording head unit which produces a recording energy beam, the device comprising: a control assembly for controlling intensity of the recording beam (see Figs. 1, 13, 15 abstract), a set of measures for supplying control data to said control assembly comprising a measuring circuit for measuring the quality of recorded signals (Fig. 1 and [0007]-[0022]), a database relating to the recording medium for supplying previous data to

said control assembly (see Fig. 1), wherein the set of measures comprises jitter measuring circuit configured to measure jitter points associated with different power levels provided to a source of the recording beam, an optimal power level provided to the source being associated with one of the jitter points having a lowest error (see Figs. 1, 4, 11-12 and [0027-[0030]).

Page 3

Koike discloses a temperature measuring circuit configured to adjust intensity based on temperature (see Figs. 1-6).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a temperature measuring circuit in Nakashiro as suggested by Koike, the motivation being in order to have the deterioration of the light emitting device can be accurately estimated (see Koike abstract).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein in that at least one of the measuring circuits determines parameters through measurements from real-time recording conditions (see Nakashiro Figs. 1 and 12).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the temperature measuring circuit operates in real time during recording (see Koike, inherent).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the temperature measuring circuit includes a circuit for measuring threshold current needed by a semiconductor laser to provide said recording energy beam (see Koike Figs. 2, 5-6).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the parameters supplied to the control assembly is related to a scanning velocity at which recording take place (see Nakashiro Figs. 2, 5).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least part of the database is contained at a location of said medium (see Nakashiro Figs. 1 and 3).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in 1, wherein in that at least part of the database is contained in a memory (see Fig.1).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the recording medium is in the form of an optical disc (see Nakashiro Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 11, see rejection above of claim 1, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses a recording method comprising the acts of: inserting a medium to be recorded into a recording device, identifying the medium, rejecting the medium if it is unsuitable for recording (noted all these steps are inherently and they are intended used), recording test data on the medium, reading the test data, determining recording power based on signal levels from reading the test data (see Nakashiro [0030]-[0038]), entering a first correction of said recording power as a function of jitter data associated with different power levels provided to a source of a recording beam, an optimal power level of the recording power being associated with one of the jitter data having a lowest error, and (see Nakashiro [0067]), entering a second correction as a function of temperature and (see Koike Figs. 1-6) scanning speed of the medium (see Nakashiro Figs. 2-3, 5).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the entering the second correction act is carried out in real time during the recording of data (see Nakashiro [0007).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the recording medium obtained by the implementation of the method as claimed in claim 11 (see rejection claim 11).

Regarding claim 14, see rejection above of claim 10.

Regarding claim 20, discloses the device of claim 1, wherein the error includes a phase error occurring while synchronizing data with a clock reference frequency (see Figs. 11-12 and it is inherently).

Regarding claim 21, discloses the device of claim 1, wherein the power levels are consecutively increasing or decreasing (see Nakashiro Figs. 13, 15-18, 23-25, 29, 30-31)

Regarding claim 22, discloses the device of claim 1, wherein the power levels are separated by unequal steps see Nakashiro Figs. 13, 15-18, 23-25, 29, 30-31).

Regarding claim 23, discloses the device of claim 1, wherein a first straight line is drawn through a first set of the jitter points and a second straight line is drawn through a second set of the jitter points, an intersection of the first straight line with the second straight line being associated with the optimal power level (see Nakashiro Figs. 13, 15-18, 23-25, 29, 30-31).

Regarding claim 24, discloses the device of claim 23, wherein the optimal power level is determined by interpolation of two of the different power levels associated with two of the jitter points nearest to the intersection (see Nakashiro Figs. 13, 15-18, 23-25, 29, 30-31).

Regarding claim 25, see rejection above of claims 1 and 23, 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,812 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 26, see rejection above of claims 1 and 20-21.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashiro (Us

2002/0003760) in view of Koike et al. (US 5,625,616) further in view of Arioka et al. (US

2002/0191512).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Nakashiro and Koike, discloses the device as

claimed in claim 1, wherein the measuring circuit operates in real time during recording (see

Koike, inherent).

Arioka discloses a tilt measuring circuit (see [0092], [0181] and [0185]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to provide a tilt measuring circuit in the combination of Nakashiro and

Koike as suggested by Arioka, the motivation being in order to prevent a variation in angle of

incidence of the laser beam to the recording layer (see Arioka [0092]).

Cited References

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

The cited references relate to:

a. Deterioration estimating method for a light emitting device and a light emission

driving apparatus using the method (Koike US 5,625,616).

b. Optical recording medium (Arioka et al. US 2002/0191512).

c. Asymmetry detection apparatus, jitter detection (Nakajima et al. US

2001/0006500).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,812

Art Unit: 2627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-7590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00am – 600pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER